



TOO  
AF

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b>  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application Number	09/583301-Conf. #2275
		Filing Date	May 30, 2000
		First Named Inventor	Robert Seliger
		Art Unit	2157
		Examiner Name	L. T. Jacobs
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	8	Attorney Docket Number	S1389.70009US00

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form  <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final  <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)  <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request  <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request  <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement  <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application  <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)  <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers  <input type="checkbox"/> Petition  <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application  <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address  <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer  <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund  <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____  <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC  <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information  <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):  Return Receipt Postcard
<div>Remarks</div>		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm Name	WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.		
Signature			
Printed name	Richard F. Giunta		
Date	August 2, 2006	Reg. No.	36,149

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8(a)	
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
Dated: 8/2/06	Signature:  (Richard F. Giunta)



DOCKET NO: S1389.70009US00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Robert Seliger  
Serial No: 09/583,301  
Confirmation No: 2275  
Filed: May 30, 2000  
For: CONTEXT MANAGEMENT SERVER APPLIANCE  
Examiner: Jacobs, Lashonda T.  
Art Unit: 2157

---

**Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8(a)**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of August 2006..

Richard F. Giunta, Reg. No. 36,149

---

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Sir:

In response to the final Office Action dated June 15, 2006, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. To further the prosecution of this application, each of the rejections set forth in the final Office Action has been carefully considered and is addressed below.

Initially, Applicant thanks Examiner Jacobs for the courtesies she extended in granting and conducting a telephone interview on July 28, 2006. The substance of that telephone interview is summarized herein.

During the telephone interview, the undersigned walked through the points in the Interview Agenda relating to: (1) the teachings of Besaw being directed to sharing session information for a *single user* among multiple applications on a *same computer*, (2) Seliger being directed to maintaining coherency of a common data set shared by *multiple users* executing applications on *different computers*, so that (3) one of skill in the art would not have been motivated to modify the session information sharing aspect of Besaw in any respect based upon